## Jewish Poverty in the United States: A Summary of Recent Research

by Jonathan Hornstein for The Harry and Jeanette Weinberg Foundation February 2019



7 Park Center Court, Owings Mills, MD 21117 | 410-654-8500 | www.hjweinbergfoundation.org

### Introduction

This report from The Harry and Jeanette Weinberg Foundation, a private foundation dedicated to improving the lives of low-income and vulnerable individuals and families, provides a review of research and major initiatives focused on Jewish poverty in the United States. The goal of this report is to inform the Foundation's work on behalf of low-income American Jews by 1) collating much of the recent (i.e., within the last five years) research and data focused on Jewish poverty, 2) understanding several of the predictors of Jewish poverty at both the national and regional levels, and 3) understanding the landscape of service delivery to low-income Jews.

In addition to a scan of available resources online, Weinberg staff sent requests to more than 70 leaders working at Jewish Federations, nonprofits, foundations, and other leading national and local organizations for references to recent research and data. We also spoke with representatives from more than a dozen Jewish Federations and other selected experts, to learn more about strategic initiatives to combat Jewish poverty within local communities. Most of this review's findings are centered on two national studies<sup>1</sup> and several community studies conducted by local Jewish Federations, which include data on income, financial status, social services needs, and educational attainment. This summary is not exhaustive; there are likely other relevant studies of Jewish poverty available, which we did not find as part of this scan.

#### It is important to provide a few caveats about the data included within this report.

First, there is wide variance in how researchers measure poverty. Most measures of poverty are based on annual household income, which does not account for accumulated wealth as an input to economic stability. Additionally, income thresholds vary significantly across studies. Examples used within this report include flat thresholds (e.g., less than \$25,000, less than \$30,000); thresholds based on the federal poverty level, which defines poverty using income in combination with household size; and thresholds that apply a multiplier (e.g., 150 percent, 250 percent) to the federal poverty level. This report also references subjective measures of poverty, including a personal assessment of whether an individual can "make ends meet." Readers should be careful not to: 1) conflate subjective measures of poverty with objective measures of poverty, such as annual household income, or 2) make comparisons across household income measures with varying thresholds.

Sources of research on Jewish poverty also vary in how they define the survey respondent population (i.e., who is a Jew?). For example, the 2014 Pew study on how income varies across US religious groups restricts the target population to Jews by religion, while Pew's 2013 "A Portrait of American Jews" study includes both Jews by religion and Jews of no religion within the survey population. The UJA-Federation of New York 2011 Special Report on Poverty defines a Jewish household as one that "includes one or more Jewish adults ages 18 or over;" thus, some people living in Jewish households, as defined by that study, may not be Jewish. Finally, comparisons of data across community studies conducted by Jewish Federations should be utilized with caution, as these studies contain variations in survey methods, including different wording of questions, which likely impact responses.

1 (1) The 2013 Pew Center Study, "A Portrait of Jewish Americans," and (2) a national survey conducted annually by the American Jewish Committee (AJC).

#### This summary provides the following information:

- National statistics on poverty, income status, and predictors of poverty within the Jewish community
- Regional statistics on poverty, income status, predictors of poverty, and other relevant statistics
- Selected strategic efforts to combat Jewish poverty within large Jewish communities
- A listing of all research cited within this summary, included as an appendix

## **Executive Summary**

## Based on the available research, we can state the following findings about Jewish poverty in the United States:

- The percentage of Jewish households earning less than \$30,000 is between 16 and 20 percent, according to two major national studies; 7 percent of Jewish households earn less than \$15,000.
- According to six recent community studies conducted within the last five years, the percentage of households with incomes below the federal poverty level<sup>2</sup> ranged between 1 and 4 percent in those communities. Additionally, Jewish households with income below \$25,000 ranged broadly between 3 and 28 percent, with most communities falling between 5 and 17 percent.
- Between 1 and 8 percent of Jewish households say that they "can't make ends meet." However, there is a second layer of households—above 20 percent in many Jewish communities—that are "just managing" or "just getting along," illustrating a much larger base of households that require assistance.
- New York City and the surrounding region has the largest number of low-income Jewish households in the United States, and is an outlier when compared to the ranges included above. According to 2011 data, 20 percent of individuals in Jewish households in New York lived in a household with an income below 150 percent of the federal poverty level<sup>3</sup>, accounting for 361,100 individuals.
- Identifying other areas of concentrated poverty with certainty is challenging, given the variability of the community studies and length of time since many of these were completed. This is an opportunity for future research.
- Jewish poverty is concentrated among older adults; Hasidic Jews; Jews with lower levels of
  educational attainment; Jews who are employed part time; individuals with disabilities; single women;
  immigrants; and Jews who identify as "Just Jewish" or secular, or have no Jewish denomination.
   Specific communities reported higher concentrations of certain populations—Jewish poverty in New
  York, for instance, is highly concentrated among Russian-speaking Jews, especially Russian-speaking
  older adults (many of whom are Holocaust survivors), and Hasidic Jews.
- New strategic efforts to combat poverty in Jewish communities around the country include comprehensive service delivery models, digital pantries, and financial literacy programs. These efforts are concentrated in a few large Jewish communities, and there is potential to replicate successful initiatives from within these Jewish communities, and among the field of human service delivery more broadly, to other locations around the country.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These data use the 2015 definition of the federal poverty threshold. The household income threshold corresponding to the federal poverty level varies between \$11,800 and \$24,000 for a household size of one to four individuals. Some relevant examples: (1) one-person household: \$11,800; (2) two-person household: \$15,000; (3) three-person household: \$20,000; (4) four-person household: \$24,000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> According to the Special Report on Poverty by the UJA-Federation of New York, the household income in New York that corresponds to 150 percent of the federal poverty level varies between \$15,000 and \$33,000 for households of four individuals or less. The specific income level depends on the number of people in the household and whether there is an older adult living within the household. Some relevant examples: (1) one-person household (older adult): \$15,000; (2) one-person household (not an older adult): \$16,500; (3) two-person household, with at least one older adult): \$19,000; (4) two-person household, with no older adults: \$21,000; (5) three-person household: \$27,000; (6) four-person household; \$33,000.

## National Research on Jewish Poverty

## Percentage of American Jews that are low income

According to two Pew Research Center studies from 2013 and 2014<sup>4</sup>, between 16 and 20 percent of Jews reported annual household incomes of less than \$30,000<sup>5</sup>.

Additionally, according to a recent American Jewish Committee (AJC) survey, these percentages have remained consistent in 2016 (19 percent) and 2017 (18 percent)<sup>6</sup>. According to the AJC survey, 7 percent of Jewish households earned less than \$15,000 in 2017, 7 percent earned between \$15,000 and \$25,000, and 4 percent earned between \$25,000 and \$30,000. It should be noted that, compared to people of other religions and the country as a whole, Jews exhibit a lower percentage of households with income below \$30,000, at 16 to 20 percent. In contrast, this figure is 35 percent of all United States households, 36 percent for Catholics, and 34 percent for Muslims<sup>7</sup>. However, as already mentioned, there are specific demographic groups, sub-populations, and geographic regions (Russian-speaking Jewish older adults in New York City, for example) that exhibit higher levels of poverty.

## National predictors of poverty within the Jewish community

Historically, predictors of poverty in the Jewish community are consistent with those in the United States at large. According to an analysis of the 2000-2001 National Jewish Population survey (the precursor to the 2013 Pew study), older adults (i.e., 65+); individuals without higher education; those who are employed part time; those with disabilities and unable to work; single women with and without children (especially the latter); immigrants (both those who have become citizens, and even more so, those who haven't); non-white Jews<sup>8</sup>; and Jews who identify as "Just Jewish" or secular, or have no Jewish denomination have all reported higher rates of poverty<sup>9</sup>.

The 2013 Pew study states that "Jews with household incomes less than \$30,000 are concentrated among young adults<sup>10</sup> and those who have reached retirement age." The 2013 study also found that Jews with less than a college education; single adults with children; and divorced, separated, and widowed respondents are more likely to be low income. It is clear that employment is a driver of poverty for older adults. According to the Pew study, 70 percent of this population is not employed (either by choice or because individuals are unable to find employment), a figure that is significantly higher than other populations. Additionally, the Blue Card, an aid organization serving Holocaust survivors, estimates that 61 percent of the 100,000 survivors in the United States live on less than \$23,000 per year<sup>11</sup>. One caveat for young adults is that these individuals may receive unreported support from their parents, so poverty in this demographic may be slightly overstated.

Ultra-Orthodox Jews, or Haredi Jews, also exhibit higher rates of living in or near poverty. The Pew study found that 43 percent of ultra-Orthodox Jews earn a household income below \$50,000, compared to 32 percent of Jews overall. One factor that contributes to this dynamic is the level of secular educational attainment. Just 25 percent of ultra-Orthodox Jewish individuals have a bachelor's degree or higher, compared to 58 percent of Jews overall, and 29 percent of the US general public<sup>12</sup>. It should be noted that poverty in the Haredi community is complex, as this community views education and employment differently than other segments of the Jewish population.

<sup>4</sup> It should be noted that these two studies focused on a slightly different target population. The 2013 Pew study surveyed both Jews by religion and Jews of no religion, while the 2014 study only surveyed Jews by religion. Jews of no religion tend to exhibit slightly lower levels of annual household income.
<sup>5</sup> http://www.pewforum.org/2013/10/01/chapter-2-intermarriage-and-other-demographics/ and http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/10/11/how-income-varies-

<sup>6</sup> <u>http://www.jewishdatabank.org/Studies/downloadFile.cfm?FileID=3626</u>

<sup>9</sup> "Poor Jews: An Analysis of Low Income in the American Jewish Population" by Laurence Kotler-Berkowitz (<u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs12397-(</u>)

- <sup>10</sup> Per the 2013 Pew study: "38% of Jews under age 30 say they have family incomes of \$30,000 or less."
- <sup>11</sup> <u>https://forward.com/fast-forward/392955/1-3-of-american-holocaust-survivors-live-in-poverty-aid-group-savs/</u>
- <sup>12</sup> http://www.pewforum.org/2015/08/26/a-portrait-of-american-orthodox-jews/

Jewish Poverty in the United States: A Summary of Recent Research | National Research on Jewish Poverty

http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/10/11/how-income-varies-among-u-s-religious-groups/
 It should be noted that more recent studies have failed to corroborate the finding that non-white Jews exhibit higher rates of poverty.

<sup>9021-7)</sup> 

## Regional Research on Jewish Poverty

## Overview and selected highlights of community studies by Jewish Federations

Aside from the national studies discussed previously, most research on Jewish poverty is sourced through community studies conducted in the vast majority of cases by local Jewish Federations. These studies, typically conducted every 10 years, are not singularly focused on income status but rather address a variety of questions about the local Jewish population, including demographics, Jewish identity, engagement (i.e., synagogue membership, annual giving), views on Israel, and several other areas. Yet these studies generally contain information on income status and subjective measures that gauge whether families are able to make ends meet and may also include information on access to various benefits.

What follows is a set of comparisons of selected indicators of poverty across different communities from community studies completed in the past five years. Note that in some cases, communities were excluded from comparisons because the study did not include a comparable question. Links to the full listing of community studies can be found in the appendix.

#### Jewish household income

Based on community studies from the past five years, federal poverty rates varied across community studies between 1 and 4 percent. Additionally, reported household income below \$25,000 ranged broadly between 3 and 28 percent, with most communities between 5 and 17 percent<sup>13</sup>.

Reported household income below \$25,000 ranges broadly between 3% and 28%, with most communities between 5% and 17%.

<sup>13</sup> This information should be used with caution for several reasons: (1) many households choose not to disclose their household income-in some studies as many as one-third did not answer this question; (2) standard of living varies by community, so a \$25,000 household income goes further in one city than it might in another;
 (3) not all of these community studies were conducted in the same year, so the national economic context may not be the same.

#### Jewish household income

Community	Percentage of households below the federal poverty level <sup>14</sup>	Percentage of households below \$25,000 in income	Percentage of households below \$50,000 in income (all, including <\$25,000)	Median household income
Houston, TX (2016)	3.9%	10%	19%	\$123,000
St. Petersburg, FL (2017)	3.6%	13%	34%	\$85,000
Broward County, FL (2016)	3.2%	17%	32%	\$83,000
Miami, FL (2014)	2.0%	14%	31%	\$94,000
Indianapolis, IN (2017)	1.7%	7%	16%	\$129,000
Omaha, NE (2017)	0.9%	12%	29%	\$75,000
Columbus, OH (2013)	Not Available	28%	49%	\$55,000
Pittsburgh, PA (2017)	Not Available	17%	37%	\$79,000
Nashville, TN (2015)	Not Available	10%	23%	\$100,000
Buffalo, NY (2013)	Not Available	9%	25%	\$91,000
St. Louis, MO (2014)	Not Available	9%	25%	\$77,000
Boston, MA (2015)	Not Available	6%	14%	\$118,000
Collier County/Naples, FL (2017)	Not Available	5%	20%	\$110,000 <sup>15</sup>
Seattle, WA (2014)	Not Available	5%	13%	\$111,000
San Francisco Bay Area, CA (2017)	Not Available	Not Available (~4% below \$20,000)	10%	\$115,000
Greater Washington, DC (2017)	Not Available	Not Available	12%	\$128,000

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> These data use the 2015 definition of the federal poverty threshold. The household income threshold corresponding to the federal poverty level varies between \$11,800 and \$24,000 for a household size of one to four individuals. Some relevant examples: (1) one-person household: \$11,800; (2) two-person household: \$15,000; (3) three-person household: \$20,000; (4) four-person household: \$24,000.
 <sup>15</sup> The median household income for Collier County was calculated with a slightly different methodology than that of the other communities in this table.

#### New York: The largest population of low-income Jews

The most significant outlier is New York City and its surrounding region, the largest Jewish community in the United States. UJA-Federation of New York completed its last community study in 2011; therefore, the city is not included in the previous comparison. But UJA-Federation of New York produced a special report on poverty based on that year's community study.

According to this special report, 564,900 individuals across nearly 196,900 households live in poverty (defined as below 150 percent of the federal poverty level<sup>16</sup>) or near poverty (defined as household income between 150 and 250 percent of the federal poverty level<sup>17</sup>), concentrated in New York City (especially Brooklyn). This means that 32 percent of people in Jewish households in New York live in poverty (20 percent) or near poverty (12 percent). The number of people in poor Jewish households in the greater New York area has doubled, growing 98 percent over the previous two decades, while the overall population of people in Jewish households in New York has grown only marginally, by just 12 percent over the same time period.

Selected identifiable populations that include higher proportions of poor Jewish

households are listed below, by order of magnitude:

32% of Jews in New York live in poverty (20%) or near poverty (12%).

Population	Portion of poor Jewish households in New York (adds to 90 percent of poor households) <sup>18</sup>	Percentage of population that is poor	Notes
Russian-speaking older adult households	26%	72%	Largely in Brooklyn, many of whom have no history of work in the United States and cannot access Social Security and several critical benefits
Hasidic, or ultra-Orthodox, Jewish households	17%	45%	Constrained by low levels of secular education (in 62 percent of Hasidic households, the highest degree earned is a high school diploma or less) and large numbers of children per household
Non-Russian-speaking older adults	16%	10%	About two-thirds of this group lives alone
Unemployed or underemployed households	13%	20%	Excludes Russian-speaking households, Hasidic households, older adults, and households that include a person with a disability
Russian-speaking households (no older adults)	8%	20%	In more than half of the households, no member of the house is employed
Households that include a person with a disability who is unable to work	8%	54%	
Single-parent households	2%	14%	

To meet the growing needs of low-income individuals and families in New York, UJA-Federation of New York has launched an anti-poverty effort that focuses on creating community resource hubs in Queens and Brooklyn. More information on this initiative is included later in the report.

Jewish Poverty in the United States: A Summary of Recent Research | Regional Research on Jewish Poverty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> According to the Special Report on Poverty by the UJA-Federation of New York, the household income in New York that corresponds to 150 percent of the federal poverty level varies between \$15,000 and \$33,000 for households of four individuals or less. The specific income level depends on the number of people in the household and whether there is an older adult living within the household. Some relevant examples: (1) one-person household (older adult): \$15,000; (2) one-person household (not an older adult): \$15,000; (3) two-person household, with at least one older adult: \$19,000; (4) two-person household, with no older adults: \$21,000; (5) three-person household: \$27,000; (6) four-person household: \$33,000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> With the same source and methodology references in Note 14, the household income in New York that corresponds to 250 percent of the federal poverty level varies between \$26,000 and \$55,000 for households of four individuals or less. Some relevant examples: (1) one-person household (lolder adult): \$26,000; (2) one-person household (not an older adult): \$28,000; (3) two-person household, with at least one older adult: \$32,000; (4) two-person household, with no older adults: \$36,000; (5) three-person household: \$45,000; (6) four-person household: \$55,000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The remaining 10 percent is a diverse group with no single identifying characteristic.

#### Subjective assessment of income sufficiency for Jewish households

The percentage of Jews that say they "can't make ends meet" or are "poor" or "nearly poor" ranges between 1 and 8 percent, aligning with the federal poverty level. However, there is a second layer of families-above 20 percent in many Jewish communities-that are "just managing" or "just getting along." Language varies across surveys for these questions, making broad-based comparisons difficult. But respondents are much more likely to answer subjective questions about financial status than those focused on household income<sup>19</sup>. Included below are comparisons of these percentages, split into two tables based on language of the survey question. The below table utilizes a scale including the options: "just managing" and "cannot make ends meet," as opposed to "have enough money," "have some extra money," or "well off."

The percentage of Jews that say they "can't make ends meet" or are "poor" or "nearly poor" ranges between 1% and 8%.

Community	Percentage that "cannot make ends meet" or are "just managing <sup>"20</sup>	Percentage that "cannot make ends meet"	Percentage that are "just managing"	Percentage of households below \$25,000 in income
Columbus, OH (2013)	36%	8%	28%	28% <sup>21</sup>
Broward County, FL (2016)	32%	4%	28%	17%
St. Petersburg, FL (2017)	31%	3%	29%	13%
Miami, FL (2014)	29%	3%	26%	14%
Omaha, NE (2017)	24%	2%	22%	12%
St. Louis, MO (2014)	24%	4%	20%	9%
Houston, TX (2016)	22%	2%	19%	10%
San Francisco Bay Area, CA (2017)	22%	2%	20%	Not Available (~4% below \$20,000)
Indianapolis, IN (2017)	16%	1%	15%	7%

Other surveys include the following options with different wording: "poor," "nearly poor," and " just getting along," as opposed to "living reasonably comfortably," "living very comfortably," or "prosperous."

Community	Percentage that are "poor," "nearly poor," or "just getting along"	Percentage that are "poor" or "nearly poor"	Percentage that are "just getting along"	Percentage of households below \$25,000 in income
Pittsburgh, PA (2017)	23%	8%	15%	17%
Boston, MA (2015)	12-13%	1-2% <sup>22</sup>	11%	6%
Seattle, WA (2014)	12%	2%	10%	5%
Greater Washington, DC (2017)	11-12%	1-2%	10%	N/A
Buffalo, NY (2013)	9-10%	1-2%	8%	9%
Nashville, TN (2015)	9-10%	1-2%	8%	10%
Collier County/Naples, FL (2017)	7%	2%	5%	5%

<sup>19</sup> http://www.jewishdatabank.org/Studies/downloadFile.cfm?FileID=3303

<sup>20</sup> Note that in some cases, addition of "cannot make ends meet" and "just managing" yields a number that is different than a simple addition of the numbers in the table, due to rounding.

<sup>21</sup> Interestingly, just 3 percent of "poor" Jewish households in Columbus (defined in the community report as respondents below 150 percent of the federal poverty level who said they "cannot make ends meet" or are "just managing") are students; 29 percent are individuals with disabilities. <sup>22</sup> Poor and nearly poor were both at "<1 percent," so it is not clear what the cumulative number is.

These tables illustrate that within most Jewish communities, there is a small number of very low-income individuals as well as a much larger group of families that might be above the poverty limit but are living paycheck to paycheck and not able to accumulate savings. These families are often one event away—one emergency, one home repair, perhaps a Jewish experience—from falling into serious financial crisis.

Some community studies are beginning to capture this dynamic more explicitly. In Pittsburgh, for instance, while 8 percent of families said they are "poor" or "nearly poor," 13 percent of families said they could not afford an emergency \$400 purchase, and 25 percent said they had insufficient savings for three months of expenses. In the Greater Washington, DC area, 1 to 2 percent of families said they are "poor" or "nearly poor," 3 percent of families said they could not afford an emergency \$400 purchase, and 13 percent said they had insufficient savings for three months of expenses. Note that these locations are only the communities that have collected data in this area—the dynamic likely applies in Jewish communities around the country.

#### Jewish individuals and families receiving public benefits

More recent community studies have also tracked the percentage of households receiving different forms of public benefits, including Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Medicaid, subsidized housing, day care assistance, Social Security Insurance (SSI), or Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI). See below for some relevant statistics from various communities (note that the same questions are not asked consistently):

- Boston, MA (2015): 6 percent of households received SNAP, Medicaid, subsidized housing, or day care assistance; 9 percent received SSDI or SSI.
- Collier County/Naples, FL (2017): 1 percent of households received welfare benefits; 3 percent received SSDI or SSI.
- Greater Washington, DC (2017): 3 percent of households received government benefits of some kind (i.e., SNAP, WIC, SSDI, Medicaid).
- Pittsburgh, PA (2017): 6 percent of households received SNAP, Medicaid, subsidized housing, or day care assistance; 9 percent received SSDI or SSI.
- Seattle, WA (2014): 20 percent of households received SSDI; 1 percent received subsidized housing benefits.

These families are often one event away—one emergency, one home repair, perhaps a Jewish experience from falling into serious financial crisis.

#### Educational attainment of Jewish individuals

One of the characteristics that is most highly correlated with income is educational attainment<sup>23</sup>. Jewish communities overall are highly educated, with bachelor's degree attainment rates ranging from 60 to 92 percent for recent community studies. This significantly outpaces the national average of 29 percent of the US public. Note that the national rate of bachelor's degree attainment among Jews is between 58 and 62 percent, based on the 2013 Pew study and the 2017 American Jewish Committee survey. This overall rate is likely heavily weighted by New York's percentage with a bachelor's degree of 57 percent (not shown below, as it is not within the past five years).

Jewish communities overall are highly educated, with bachelor's degree attainment rates ranging from 60% to 92%.

Community	Percentage of individuals that have a bachelor's degree	Percentage of individuals that have a graduate degree
Greater Washington, DC (2017)	92%	61%
Seattle, WA (2014)	89%	55%
Boston, MA (2015)	87%	61%
Buffalo, NY (2013)	86%	57%
Nashville, TN (2015) <sup>24</sup>	86%	54%
Collier County/Naples, FL (2017)	85%	55%
Pittsburgh, PA (2017) <sup>24</sup>	84%	56%
Indianapolis, IN (2017)	83%	45%
Houston, TX (2016)	79%	39%
San Francisco Bay Area, CA (2017)	76%	34%
Miami, FL (2014)	71%	32%
Columbus, OH (2013)	70%	35%
Omaha, NE (2017)	68%	30%
St. Louis, MO (2014)	63%	33%
Broward County, FL (2016)	61%	26%
St. Petersburg, FL (2017)	60%	29%

<sup>23</sup> http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/10/11/how-income-varies-among-u-s-religious-groups/

<sup>24</sup> Respondent base is the overall population, rather than only individuals over 25 years old. Several community studies, including Nashville, note that a similar analysis limited to only those over 25 years old produced nearly identical results.

# Strategic Efforts to Combat Poverty in the Jewish Community

Several Jewish communities throughout the United States have launched initiatives and programs to fight poverty. See below for examples of Jewish agencies working to fight poverty in their own communities. Note that the descriptions below are anecdotal, based on conversations with leaders at Jewish Federations around the country, and are in no way exhaustive.

#### One-Stop Shop and No Wrong Door models: Easing access to service delivery

Recognizing that individuals seeking help usually require multiple services and interventions, agencies and service providers throughout the United States have been developing No Wrong Door models of service delivery for many years. These models ensure that no matter where an individual enters an ecosystem of service providers, they are screened, referred to all services and providers for which they are eligible, and are tracked over time. Many Jewish communities have undertaken to implement No Wrong Door and other comprehensive service delivery models, but it is easier said than done, says Reuben Rotman, president and CEO of the Network of Jewish Human Services Agencies (NJHSA). "My sense is that many communities might think they're practicing these principles but are not doing it in a comprehensive way," he says. "Did the case manager follow-up? Did they have the individual sign a release to have another agency reach out? Is the organization proactively exploring other connections? At many organizations, there aren't systems in place to enable coordination of treatment for clients who might present themselves at multiple agencies."

#### Combined Jewish Philanthropies, Boston

The Combined Jewish Philanthropies in Boston launched an anti-poverty initiative in mid-2015 that centralizes services across six providers through its Warmline case management hotline. Through this effort, Boston has conducted annual, de-duplicated demographic analyses of its clients that provide a view of the Jewish poor population. One surprising note from this study involves the education status of this population; 67 percent of the population accessing services had either a bachelor's or post-graduate degree, compared to 41 percent of Jewish low-income households (i.e., less than \$30,000) nationally (Pew). As Dr. Sarah Abramson states, "We cannot take an anti-poverty program off the shelf, because most of those are focused on education. Something else is going on here."25 To serve this target population, the initiative prioritizes employment opportunities for mid-career professionals with an increasing focus on clients experiencing mental health challenges, as well as comprehensive case management and financial coaching for individuals and families experiencing poverty. This program is unique not only in terms of the population it serves but also in its effort to consolidate services across the region and truly embrace a No Wrong Door philosophy, whereby individuals and families are seamlessly connected to services across the community, regardless of which agency serves as the entry point. The organization is also conducting longterm follow-up every three months over a three-year period, and is recording a "sustainability score" on a scale of one (self-sustaining) to four (crisis) at each follow-up time-point based on five variables: current employment status, household income, expenses versus income, savings balance, housing stability, and debt-to-equity ratio. According to a recent update on the initiative, 91 percent of families and individuals entering in crisis showed positive movement toward stability within nine months.

<sup>25</sup> Dr. Sarah Abramson, vice president of caring and community at Boston Combined Jewish Philanthropies (CJP), on the anti-poverty initiative: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JamhxsAHaXU</u>

#### UJA-Federation of New York

UJA-Federation of New York, aiming to serve the largest block of concentrated Jewish poverty in the United States, is also significantly increasing its efforts to centralize services. Through its Centennial Anti-Poverty Initiative<sup>26</sup>, UJA will be launching two community resource hubs, in partnership with the Met Council and the Central Queens Y, in Brooklyn and Queens, respectively. These hubs are designed to be one-stop shops that centralize the array of social services and supports available to low-income individuals and families, including food access, employment training, help in applying for government benefits, legal and financial counseling, emergency financial assistance, emotional support, and low-interest loans, among other relevant services<sup>27</sup>.

#### Digital pantries: Leveraging technology to increase dignity

#### UJA-Federation of New York

Within its community resource hubs, UJA-Federation of New York is implementing a digital pantry foodordering system. The system will enable eligible clients to place food orders remotely from a computer, or onsite via an iPad, instead of standing in line at pantry locations. This dignifies the food pantry experience, reducing waits from up to 30 minutes to just a few minutes. Additionally, clients will have increased choice in their food items, which both limits waste and provides families with the choice of food items that is afforded to wealthier families. The system also leverages a points system that incentivizes individuals to select healthier foods that "cost" fewer points. In its pilot phase (over the past six months), UJA-Federation of New York's digital pantry system has seen a 30 percent increase in clients accessing food and a 133 percent increase in the amount of protein that is available to clients. Other than UJA New York and Jewish Federation of Greater Philadelphia, which has used a digital pantry for the past five years, we are not aware of other Jewish communities that leverage the digital pantry model.

Of note, UJA's anti-poverty efforts leverage effective practices and programs from around the social services sphere. This digital pantry initiative was replicated from St. Johns Bread & Life, a social service organization focused on alleviating hunger in Brooklyn and Queens that pioneered the model a decade ago. Additionally, UJA's community resource hubs are based on the one-stop shop model, pioneered by the Robin Hood Foundation. There may be opportunities for other Jewish agencies to similarly leverage effective practices from not only other Jewish communities but also leaders within the field of human service delivery more broadly.

<sup>26</sup> Overview of UJA New York Centennial Anti-Poverty Initiative: <u>https://vimeo.com/265813193</u>

<sup>27</sup> http://jewishweek.times, ofisrael.com/uja-fed-to-launch-largest-anti-poverty-push-in-its-history/

#### Financial literacy: Building assets toward sustainability

While the Jewish community (with the exception of New York and selected other communities) has a low rate of families below the federal poverty level, there is a much larger population just above the poverty limit, often described as the working poor or ALICE (Asset-Limited, Income-Constrained, Employed). Many believe living in "near poverty" is just as serious because exceeding the federal poverty income threshold can lead to loss of eligibility for several benefits<sup>28</sup>. As mentioned earlier, individuals and families who are "just managing" or "just getting by" are one large expense away from not being able to support themselves. These families often lack the financial literacy and personal budgeting knowledge to build savings and continue the uphill climb out of poverty.

#### Jewish Federation of Cleveland

Following its 2011 community study, which identified that 41 percent of families are either "just managing to make ends meet" or "cannot make ends meet," the Jewish Federation of Cleveland set up a task force on financial distress to identify unmet needs in the community. One trend this task force identified is that the community was struggling to support families who were near poor, with modest income and savings, but on the brink of falling back into poverty. Out of this finding came Forward Focus, an intensive self-sufficiency intervention focusing on financial education, housing stabilization, and employment support, serving more than 100 families annually in Cleveland.

Led by a former banker, the evidence-based program takes a holistic approach to help individuals achieve financial stability, including budgeting, debt reduction, employment, transportation, child/older adult care, savings and emergency accounts, and housing. The program targets families who are unemployed or long-term underemployed and facing housing instability, with heads of households either being over 50 (i.e., baby boomers) or with dependents in the home. More than 270 households have participated since program launch at the end of 2014. Outcomes for the first three-year pilot of the program are being recorded in the framework of the Fenn-Jorstad Self Sufficiency Matrix (SSM), an assessment tool used to determine a participant's level of self-sufficiency, and will be released later this year.

#### Targeted outreach: Finding those in need

#### The Jewish Federation of Greater Philadelphia

While the community studies are extraordinarily helpful in surfacing trends that can shape best-fit programs to serve low-income individuals, these studies measure macro-level trends and unfortunately lack the ability to find specific people in need. This limits services to those who reach out to an agency or program. The Jewish Federation of Greater Philadelphia is trying to change this. The organization has initiated a partnership with a data science firm, Civis Analytics, that can identify characteristics of individuals likely to be both Jewish and low income and target services to those individuals. The firm estimates that a sample exhibiting the characteristics of low-income Jews contains 6.7 times the number of targets than a randomly selected list. The Federation plans to work with nonprofit Benefits Data Trust, a close partner, to conduct targeted outreach based on a tailored list containing attributes of low-income Jewish families and connect them with a myriad of benefits. This represents yet another way to expand access to services for low-income Jews.

<sup>28</sup> <u>https://d38k8tmwohgfyl.cloudfront.net/785173.pdf</u>

## Conclusion

These summarized research and data are helpful in understanding several facets of poverty in the Jewish community. The percentage of Jewish households earning less than \$30,000 in income is between 16 and 20 percent. And based on several Jewish community studies completed by Jewish Federations, there is a small group of Jewish households reporting that they can't make ends meet, with a much larger group of Jewish households (more than 20 percent in many communities) that are barely managing to get by each day. New York, the largest Jewish community in the United States, is an outlier to this dynamic, with almost a third of households living in poverty or near poverty. Finally, the Jewish individuals and households struggling most with poverty are older adults; Hasidic Jews; Jews with lower levels of educational attainment; those employed part time; individuals with disabilities; single women; immigrants; and Jews who identify as "Just Jewish" or secular; or have no Jewish denomination.

Many questions and areas for potential research remain. Other regions with large numbers of lowincome Jews outside of New York certainly exist but are difficult to pin down due to differences in wording of questions and timing of completion across community studies. There is also much more to learn about the makeup of poverty within most communities. Across all communities, it would be helpful to further understand long-term patterns of poverty, including how long individuals and households remain in poverty. These questions may be the focus of future research for funders, Jewish Federations, leading nonprofits, foundations, and other organizations. Additionally, there are opportunities for Jewish organizations to learn from the exemplary programs and services of their peers, both within the Jewish community and among the field of human service delivery more broadly.

Many thanks to everyone who spoke with us, or sent us research or data, in support of this effort. If you have any questions regarding this report, please don't hesitate to contact Jonathan Hornstein at jhornstein@hjweinberg.org.

#### Questions? Comments? Have info to share? Please contact us.

The Harry and Jeanette Weinberg Foundation 7 Park Center Court, Owings Mills, MD 21117 410-654-8500 | www.hjweinbergfoundation.org

## Thank You to Partner Organizations

This report would not have been possible without the help of several organizations, which had one or more representatives speak with us about poverty in the Jewish community or send us data or research to contribute to the report. These organizations are listed below:

The Associated: Jewish Community Federation of Baltimore **Circle of Service Foundation Combined Jewish Philanthropies of Greater Boston Greater Miami Jewish Federation** Jewish Community Federation of San Francisco, the Peninsula, Marin & Sonoma Counties Jewish Federation of Cleveland Jewish Federation of Greater Dallas **Jewish Federation of Greater Los Angeles** Jewish Federation of Greater Philadelphia **Jewish Federation of Greater Pittsburgh Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Detroit** The Jewish Federations of North America Jewish United Fund/Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago Leading Edge **Network of Jewish Human Service Agencies One8 Foundation Pew Research Center UJA Federation of New York** 

On behalf of The Harry and Jeanette Weinberg Foundation, we thank you for your perspectives and contributions and for the work you do every day to improve the lives of low-income individuals and families.

## Appendix

Listing of research focused on Jewish poverty

#### National studies

- 1. "A Portrait of Jewish Americans" by the Pew Center provides information on Jewish socioeconomic status, including education, household income, employment status, and homeownership. (<u>http://www.pewforum.org/2013/10/01/chapter-2-intermarriage-and-other-demographics/</u>)
- 2. "A Portrait of American Orthodox Jews: A Further Analysis of the 2013 Survey of US Jews" by the Pew Center provides more detail on the Orthodox Jewish population, including differences in responses between Modern Orthodox and Haredi Jews. (http://www.pewforum.org/2015/08/26/a-portrait-of-american-orthodox-jews/)
- "Annual Survey of American Jewish Opinion" by American Jewish Committee includes a question on total annual household income, comparing 2016 and 2017 results. (<u>http://www.jewishdatabank.org/Studies/downloadFile.</u> <u>cfm?FileID=3626</u>)
- "Comparisons of Jewish Communities: A Compendium of Tables and Bar Charts" by Ira Sheshkin includes a chapter on Economic Factors, including household income, percentages of households living below federal poverty levels, and other metrics. (<u>https://www.bjpa.org/content/upload/bjdb/777/Section%2009%20</u> <u>Economic%20Factors.pdf</u>)
- 5. "Poor Jews: An Analysis of Low Income in the American Jewish Population" by Laurence Kotler-Berkowitz discusses the characteristics of the low-income Jewish population based on data from the National Jewish Population Survey in 2000-2001. (https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs12397-009-9021-z)
- 6. "The Great Recession and American Jews: Evidence from Baltimore, Chicago and Cleveland" by Laurence Kotler-Berkowitz discusses the experiences of Jews in three US communities as a result of the Great Recession. (<u>http://www.jewishdatabank.org/Studies/downloadFile.cfm?FileID=3128</u>)

#### **Regional studies**

A full listing of US local community studies, conducted by Jewish Federations, can be found online at the Berman Jewish Databank. Additionally, some other recent studies, conducted by the Steinhardt Social Research Institute, can be found on its website. See below for relevant links:

- 1. http://www.jewishdatabank.org/Studies/us-local-communities.cfm
- 2. https://www.brandeis.edu/ssri/communitystudies/team.html
- "2011 Special Report on Poverty" by UJA-Federation of New York, in consultation with Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty, compares results of the community studies between 1991 and 2011. (<u>https://d38k8tmwohgfyl.</u> <u>cloudfront.net/785173.pdf</u>)